	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Daniel S. Mount, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 77517) Kathryn G. Spelman, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 15451 Daniel H. Fingerman, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 2296) Kevin M. Pasquinelli, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 2469) Mount & Stoelker, P.C. RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650 333 West San Carlos Street San Jose CA 95110-2740 Phone: (408) 279-7000 Fax: (408) 998-1473 Email: dmount@mount.com kspelman@mount.com dfingerman@mount.com kpasquinelli@mount.com	83)
	10	Attorneys for Defendants Romi Mayder, Wesley Mayder, Silicon Test Systems Inc., and Silicon Test Solutions LLC	
	11	United States District Court Northern District of California, San Jose Division	
05	9 12		
MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C.	OS STREI 95110-2 779-7000	VERIGY U.S. INC., a Delaware corporation) Case No. 5:07-cv-04330 (RMW) (HRL)
STOELK	Ower, 1 N CARL FORNIA (408) 2	Plaintiff,	[Proposed] Order Granting Defendants'
UNT & S	MUOUT & STOELSER, F.C. RIVERPARK TOWER, SUITE 1650 333 WEST SAN CARLOS STREET SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95110-2740 TELEPHONE (408) 279-7000 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 91 9	vs.	Administrative Motion for Leave to File Exhibit C to the Letter Brief re: Motion to Compel Disk
0.2) Drive Discovery Under Seal
MOI	333 333 54N J	ROMI OMAR MAYDER an individual:	,
MO	17 16 17 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	ROMI OMAR MAYDER, an individual; WESLEY MAYDER, an individual;) Judge: Hon. Howard R. Lloyd) Ctrm: 2
MO		WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON TEST SYSTEMS INC., a California corporation; SILICON TEST) Ctrm: 2
MO: RIVER	17	WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON TEST SYSTEMS INC., a	`
MO	17 18	WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON TEST SYSTEMS INC., a California corporation; SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS LLC, a California limited	Ctrm: 2 Complaint filed: August 22, 2007
MO	17 18 19	WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON TEST SYSTEMS INC., a California corporation; SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS LLC, a California limited liability corporation,	Ctrm: 2 Complaint filed: August 22, 2007
MO	17 18 19 20	WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON TEST SYSTEMS INC., a California corporation; SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS LLC, a California limited liability corporation,	Ctrm: 2 Complaint filed: August 22, 2007
MO	17 18 19 20 21	WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON TEST SYSTEMS INC., a California corporation; SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS LLC, a California limited liability corporation,	Ctrm: 2 Complaint filed: August 22, 2007
MO	17 18 19 20 21 22	WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON TEST SYSTEMS INC., a California corporation; SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS LLC, a California limited liability corporation,	Ctrm: 2 Complaint filed: August 22, 2007
MO	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON TEST SYSTEMS INC., a California corporation; SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS LLC, a California limited liability corporation,	Ctrm: 2 Complaint filed: August 22, 2007
MO	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON TEST SYSTEMS INC., a California corporation; SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS LLC, a California limited liability corporation,	Ctrm: 2 Complaint filed: August 22, 2007
MO	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON TEST SYSTEMS INC., a California corporation; SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS LLC, a California limited liability corporation,	Ctrm: 2 Complaint filed: August 22, 2007

28

28

SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95110-2740

MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C. RIVERPARK TOWER, SUITE 1650 1

Now before the Court is Defendants Administrative Motion for Leave to File Documents under Seal. Upon consideration of the Administrative Motion for Leave to File Documents Under Seal and the supporting declaration of Kevin M. Pasquinelli filed therewith, the Court finds there to be good cause for granting Plaintiffs request to file documents under seal.

GOOD CAUSE having been shown, the Court finds that:

- 1. The parties possess overriding confidentiality interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record in the following documents: Exhibit C to Defendants Letter Brief discussing Plaintiffs proposed search terms. (the Exhibit)
- 2. The parties' overriding confidentiality interests support sealing the record;
- 3. A substantial probability exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed.
- 4. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
- 5. No less restrictive means exist to achieve this overriding interest.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Documents Under

Seal is GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: , 2007

II 11 II ID II 1

Honorable Howard R. Lloyd UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE